UNCLASSIFIED

AD NUMBER AD524289 CLASSIFICATION CHANGES TO: UNCLASSIFIED FROM: CONFIDENTIAL LIMITATION CHANGES

TO:

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

FROM:

Distribution authorized to U.S. Gov't. agencies and their contractors;

Administrative/Operational Use; 17 NOV 1972. Other requests shall be referred to Office of the Adjutant General (Army), Washington, DC.

AUTHORITY

AGO ltr 11 Jun 1980 ; AGO ltr 11 Jun 1980

THIS REPORT HAS BEEN DELIMITED AND CLEARED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE UNDER DOD DIRECTIVE 5200.20 AND NO RESTRICTIONS ARE IMPOSED UPON ITS USE AND DISCLOSURE.

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT A

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.

SECURITY MARKING

The classified or limited status of this report applies to each page, unless otherwise marked.

Separate page printouts MUST be marked accordingly.

THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS INFORMATION AFFECTING THE NATIONAL DEFENSE OF THE UNITED STATES WITHIN THE MEANING OF THE ESPIONAGE LAWS, TITLE 18, U.S.C., SECTIONS 793 AND 794. THE TRANSMISSION OR THE REVELATION OF ITS CONTENTS IN ANY MANNER TO AN UNAUTHORIZED PERSON IS PROHIBITED BY LAW.

NOTICE: When government or other drawings, specifications or other data are used for any purpose other than in connection with a definitely related government procurement operation, the U.S. Government thereby incurs no responsibility, nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the Government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

Best Available Copy



0

00

いなな

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY OFFICE OF THE ADJUTANT GENERAL

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20310

DAAG-PAP-A (M) (26 Jan 73) DAFD-OTT

9 February 1973

SUBJECT: Senior Officer Debriefing Report: LTC James J. Cortez, Senior Advisor, 1st Armor Brigade, 15 June 1972 - 15 November 1972 (U)

"HALLUNG DOCKTY INFORMATION"

SEE DISTRIBUTION

"Unauthorized Disclosure Subject to Criminal Sanctions"

1. Reference: AR 525-14, Senior Officer Debriefing Report, (U) 2 July 1971.

2. Transmitted herewith is the report of LTC James J. Cortez, subject as above.

- 3. This report is provided to insure appropriate benefits are realized from the experiences of the author. The report should be reviewed in accordance with paragraphs 3 and 5, AR 525-14; however, it should not be interpreted as the official view of the Department of the Army, or of any agency of the Department of the Army.
- 4. Information of actions initiated under provisions of AR 525-14, as a result of subject report should be provided to the Assistant Chief of Staff for Force Development, ATTN: DAFD-OTT within 90 days of receipt of covering letter.

BY ORDER OF THE SECRETARY OF THE ARMY:

1 Incl as

VERNE L. BOWERS Major General, USA The Adjutant General

from classified inclosure.

DISTRIBUTION:

Commanders

US Continental Army Command

US Army Combat Developments Command

US Army Materiel Command

Commandants

US Army Command and General Staff College Regraded unclassified when separated

US Army Armor School

US Army Civil Affairs School

US Army Engineer School

US Army Infantry School

(Continued on page 2)

CONFIDENTIAL



DISTRIBUTION (Continued)

. US Army Institute for Military Assistance

US Army Intelligence School

.US Army Medical Field Service School

US Army Military Police School

US Army Security Agency School

US Army Signal School

US Army Transportation School

Copies furnished:

Office, Chief of Staff, US Army (DWSA)

Deputy Chiefs of Staff

Chief of Research and Development

Assistant Chiefs of Staff

Chief of Engineers

Commanders in Chief

US Army, Europe

US Readiness Command

Commander

US Army, Alaska

US Army STRATCOM

US Army Electronics Command

Commander, US Army Forces Southern Command

Commandant of the Marine Corps

Commandant, The National War College

Defense Documentation Center

Commander

US Army Land Warfare Laboratory

US Army Logistics Doctrine, Systems & Readiness Agency

US Army Mobility Equipment Research & Development Center

HEADQUARTERS FIRST REGIONAL ASSISTANCE COMMAND lst Armor Brigade Advisory Detachment APO San Francisco 96349

17 November 1972

SUBJECT: Senior Advisor's Debrief Report (U)

Commanding General
First Regional Assistance Command
ATTN: G-3
APO 96349

Debrief Report By: Lieutenant Colonel James J. Cortez Duty Assignment: Senior Advisor, 1st Armor Brigade Inclusive Dates: 15 June 1972 - 15 November 1972

Date of Report:

16 November 1972

l. (C) Introduction:

- a. The subordinate units of the Brigade suffered severe personnel and equipment losses during the North Vietnamese invasion of Spring 1972; consequently, the earlier portion of the time frame considered can best be described as a period of refitting, receiving replacement personnel and intensive training.
- b. Particular emphasis and interest were focused on the 20th Tank Squadron (M48A3). The units did return to action in time to participate in the counteroffensive and contributed significantly to its success. The Brigade, however, never received a mission and its activity was limited to monitoring the administrative and logistical support of the units.

2. (C) Organization:

The Brigade consisted of the 20th Tank Squadron (M48A3) and the 11th, 17th, and 18th Cavalry Regiments. The 11th Cavalry Regiment

DAFD-OTT 73B001 Inclosure CLASSIFIED BY: CDR FRAC
SUBJECT TO GENERAL DECLASSIFICATION
SCHEDULE OF EXECUTIVE ORDER 11652
AUTOMATICALLY DOWNGRADED AT TWO YEAR
INTERVALS

CONFIDENTIAL

DECLASSIFIED ON 31 DECEMBER 1978

17 November 1972

SUBJECT: Senior Advisor's Debrief Report (U)

returned to its parent unit, the 3d Infantry Division, in early September. The 18th Cavalry Regiment is a III Corps unit OPCON to I Corps and its 1st Troop (tank troop) is from the 15th Cavalry Regiment, III Corps. 1st Troop of the 18th Cav is in MR 3.

3. (C) Leadership:

- a. The Brigade Commander, COL Gia, is mostly an unknown quantity as his activities were limited. He was not observed in the field in stressful situations. It might be added at this point that he did serve previously under the current I Corps commander in another region and apparently favorably impressed him. His trips to the field to visit subordinate units were very rare, but he did utilize the Brigade Deputy, COL Viet, to visit units. My overall impression is that COL Gia did not impact on the subordinate units of the Brigade and did not make his presence felt. This can partially be attributed to a reluctance to interfere with the operations of other major units that the units of the Brigade were not attached to. He did rule with an iron hand in the areas in which I saw him active.
- b. The Brigade Deputy Commander, COL Viet, is more of an outgoing, energetic and forceful individual. Things did get accomplished in the Brigade as a result of his efforts, such as improvement in the functioning of the Brigade staff and increased emphasis on logistic matters. I consider him a strong leader and capable of running the Brigade in combat.
- c. The CO of the 20th Tank Squadron, LTC Ta, is the best leader in the Brigade and I suspect better than most other ARVN officers of his rank or even those senior to him.
- d. The CO of the 11th Cav, MAJ Lao, is quite competent. He is relatively young, eager to perform well, and tries very hard.
- e. The CO of the 17th Cav, LTC Dung, is far from flamboyant but very calm under stress and quite competent.
- f. The CO of the 18th Cav, MAJ Si, is an impressive looking individual and appears to be competent.

17 November 1972

SUBJECT: Senior Advisor's Debrief Report (U)

4. (C) Operations:

All units of the Brigade were piecemeal assigned to major units in the MR. Brigade, Squadron, Regiments and, in many cases companies, did not have missions. Assets were broken down to platoon and section level. M-113's were used as trucks as well as combat vehicles, and tanks were generally used as assault guns. There was one exception to this—when the 17th Cav had a mission assigned in September when it was OPCON to the 1st Ranger Group; even then it had very little of its organic assets. The Marine Division and the 1st Ranger Group did tend to utilize armor assets assigned to them better. Attitudes and working relationships were also excellent in these units. In general, the prolonged fragmentary employment created problems in supply, leadership, morale, maintenance, and combat effectiveness.

5. (C) Training:

All of the units of the Brigade need a rigorous training program that stresses fundamentals. The platoons and individual crews have been piecemeal committed for over five months. They have forgotten what they once knew; they have developed bad habits; and sense of pride is almost nil. Replacement personnel do learn individual skills but do not undergo reaction drills and as a consequence do not perform as well as they are capable of performing in stressful situations. I strongly suspect that platoons are not able to perform as fully cohesive fighting units. Recent incidents appear to indicate this. They do well in their current role but the role is limited. The necessary skills and expertise are present but the units have lost their fine edge. Individual, crew, and basic unit training is badly needed.

6. (C) Maintenance:

a. Crew maintenance in general can best be described as corrective rather than preventive. Some crews are better than others and the older, more experienced crews do perform what can be described as preventive maintenance. This is also a function of command emphasis. Some company commanders stress it, most do not. Battalion level maintenance presents a more positive picture. This is especially true of the 20th Tank Squadron. They have an aggressive attitude and will work long

17 November 1972

SUBJECT: Senior Advisor's Debrief Report (U)

hours to accomplish their mission. The Battalion maintenance officer is the driving force in the 20th Tank maintenance program. He is not perfect and has his faults (and bad habits) but he gets the job done.

b. Supporting maintenance for the 20th Tank Squadron is poor. This is an area that all advisors concerned, ordnance and armor, have discussed and in general are in mutual agreement. I feel that ARVN ordnance is still in the learning stages and does not yet fully understand the M48A3 tank and its attendant requirements. This subject can be addressed better by the ordnance advisors.

7. (C) Advisory Effort:

- The emphasis of the advisory effort, from the outset, was the 20th Tank Squadron. This advisor also realized that there was not much time to be lost in getting all of the units fully operational again. Points had to be addressed bluntly and directly with emphasis on rapid reaction.. Use was made of written memorandum and the Senior Advisor also went to units, unaccompanied by the Brigade Commander, to assess the situation, set the example, and encourage the subordinate commanders. It is felt that this affected the advisor/counterpart relationship to some degree. I also feel that my rank was a factor at work in the relationship. There was mutual respect and understanding and, occasionally, some warmth. There was, in general, a somewhat free exchange of ideas. This was sometimes accomplished directly; there were other times when it was obvious that the Deputy Commander was tasked to "sound me out." Excellent relationship were established with subordinate unit commanders. I found them much more open to ideas and suggestions.
- b. I also found that the commanders do anticipate a drastic reduction in the advisory effort and exhibited some anxiety about it.

8. (C) ARVN Attitudes:

a. There was an interesting shift in attitudes during the conduct of the counteroffensive. The question initially asked of the commander and others was, "What success do you think will be realized by the counteroffensive; how long will it take, and how far will it go?" The

17 November 1972

SUBJECT: Senior Advisor's Debrief Report (U)

common response at the beginning was, "It all depends on US air power and support in general." Immediately before the successful completion of the Quang Tri phase, a common remark made was, "We should push on to Dong Ha." US support was mentioned in passing.

b. There was also great relief when Mr. Nixon was reelected to the Presidency.

9. (C) Overall Evaluation:

The Brigade accomplished its mission, its units trained, deployed, and participated in the counteroffensive. The training was limited, however, and continued fragmentation and normal personnel turnover have taken their toll. The units are essentially good, skills are present and the leaders are competent. The fine edge is gone, though, and the cohesive spirit has dissipated. A sound training program is badly needed. Units should be employed as units (company and platoon) more often to help them reestablish their identify.

≠ JAMES J. CORTEZ

James y (or les)

LTC, Armor

SA, 1st Armor Brigade

Copy available to DDC does not permit fully legible reproduction

MACER-OF (17 Nov 72) 1st Ind SUBJECT: Senior Advisor's Debriefing Report

D1, Headquarters First Regional Assistance Command, AFC San Francisco 96349

TO: OFFISMACV, ATTN: MACDO-04, AFC San Francisco 96222

- 1. This headquarters has reviewed the Senior Advisor's Debriefing Report from LTC James J. Cortez, departing Senior Advisor of the 1st Armor Brigade, and concurs with the Ferent.
- 2. In an effort to overcome maintenance problems, as discussed in paragraph 6 of the report, a Logistics Improvement Team Composed of I Corps and IAIC staff members is currently visiting units in MRI to determine surely and maintenance problems. The Team will make on the spot corrections, and recommend areas for emphasis and training. The I Corps GA has been advised that 1st and 2nd echelon maintenance should receive emphasis in all units, but par iculary in the Armored Brigade.

FUR THE CONTAINDER:

0

CIT, AG Adjutant General

CLASSIFIED BY CDR FRAC
SUBJECT TO GENERAL DECLASSIFICATION
SCHEDULE OF EXECUTIVE ORDER 11652
AUTOMATICALLY DOWNGRADED AT TWO
YEAR INTERVALS
DECLASSIFIED ON 31 DECEMBER 1978



e de la consta	DOCUMENT CO	GATKOL DATA - 1		-
ACTIVIT	\ (Corporate author)	and sometimes of muse ne	CONFIDENTIAL	
DA, Washington, D. C. 20310			26. GHOUP	9
	r Debriefing Report: LT gade, 15 June 1972 - 15			
Senior Office	Type of report and inclusive dates; er Debriefing Report, 15	June 1972 - 3	15 November 1972	
LTC James J. Co		7		
24 January 19		70. TOTAL NO.	OF PAGES 16, NO. CT 10.73	
# COSTRACT OR GHAN			PS REPORT NUMBER (5)	
ii. Product no.	N/A	73B001	DAT NO(5) (Any other numbers and share	
		this report)	NOTE (MAY COLOR MAINSONS M MAY	•
I. SUPPLIMENTARY NO	N/A	i	AFD DA, Washington, D.C.	. 20310
ABSTRACT		•	3	
			25.00	
		2) Yes 6 3 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	A PART	

UNCLASSIFIED

Security Classici.